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Abstract

In the present research article, a modest attempt has been made to find some important features non-safety costs in maintenance of work equipment’s. The preventive maintenance is essential in maintaining the safety and reliability of work equipment, which is ensuring the safety and health of workers and also it, give an optimal and safe working environment. Here, we analyze the influence of the failure of the work equipment, as a result of non-preventive maintenance, on the managerial performance of a company. From the analysis of the effects of the costs of work-related events, it was found that the failure and deterioration of work equipment accounted a significant share from the cost of non-safety. These factors are an argument for the cost-benefit analysis of occupational safety and health. Final, we emphasized some important role of preventive maintenance, namely the necessity to carry out periodic safety tests, starting from the conception of many employers that they have the economic criterion regarding the safety and health of the workers in the work process.
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1. Introduction

The maintenance activity is a necessary activity in efficient production, and this must be rigorously planned and applied. From the studies and analysis of statistical data on work accidents and occupational diseases, confusion was found among the small and medium enterprises’ employers on the need for preventive maintenance and planned maintenance, opting only for corrective maintenance in case of damage and failure, only for repairs. On the other hand, the preventive maintenance activities, as well as the prevention activity are two activities that do not produce immediate financial benefits; in this sense some of the employers, especially the small and medium enterprises, tend to reduce the budgets allocated to these unproductive activities. The risk assessment should be carried out before any maintenance work begins and work should be planned. It is best practice to keep a maintenance log which is regularly updated. The workers should be involved in the risk assessment process as those carrying out a maintenance task are often in the best position to identify the most efficient ways of dealing with them. The work area should be made safe (e.g. preventing unauthorized access) and the people performing the maintenance work should be equipped with the proper tools and equipment to do the work safely. The work should be monitored and safe working procedures need to be followed at all times. The process needs to end with checks to ensure that the job has been completed satisfactorily.

2. Maintenance status at national level

Maintenance activity is one of the workplace activities that can affect the safety and health of workers, both those using work equipment and those directly involved in it, and failure to carry out preventive maintenance leads to damage and disturbances and sometimes to work accidents and occupational diseases. Analyzing the statistical data on work-related accidents, their causes and their effects and comparing the occupational hazards, it is found that during the lifetime of work equipment the most risks are identified during the maintenance operations.

Fig. 1 presents the weight of the professional risks identified in the preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance activities.

Fig. 2 presents the weight of the professional risks identified in categories of maintenance activities.
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Work accidents have a growing tendency not to occur during normal operation, but during repair, maintenance, cleaning, adjustment, etc. as narrated by (A. Antonov et al., 2015) and others. Analyzing the risk of injury and occupational disease, we find that the electrical risks and the wrong actions have the greatest weight. In this respect it is recommended a rigorous analysis of the causes that generate these factors and especially the analysis of electrical problems from all activities in order to identify the electrical hazards that may occur and cause serious injuries or even the death of worker involved in the work process. (Pasculescu et al., 2012 & others). The purpose of analysis of the safety level assessment is to provide the possibility to know the real situation from the point of view of safety and to take the proper prevention methods for the given situation. (Radu et al., 2013 & others).

3. Cost analysis generated by lack of preventive maintenance

Knowing, analyzing and controlling all costs at a company level are useful tools for diagnosing the company’s situation and its ability to overcome it in the national economy. Maintenance activity is an integral part of production activity, as the place of event progress, effects and application, and is therefore also subject to economic laws. An event caused by failure / damage of work equipment adversely affects the elements of the work system, by the unavailability of damaged work equipment during repair or replacement by discontinuing economic activity, having an effect on productivity due to the reduction of the working hours of the personnel, and so on. The main cost of non-maintenance is determined by the value of lost production during the maintenance period due to the unavailability of the work equipment (W-E) / installation. Breaking down the costs by category, the lack of preventive maintenance also entails costs related to overtime payment for the repair / replacement of the W-E, the value of the spare parts, the materials used to repair / replace the W-E, the value of the new work equipment if it can no longer be repaired, the value of the W-E lease if it cannot be repaired or bought, the W-E reduction in value or spare parts. In the event that a leased W-E is damaged above mentioned costs shall be supplemented, as the case may be, by the penalties stipulated by the contractual clauses or as a result of a court action. The calculation of the loss caused by the failure to perform maintenance is difficult and cannot be applied uniformly because of the large variations from one case to another; therefore a detailed analysis must be carried out to identify all aspects. For example, you need to know if the damaged W-E is the property of the company or it is leased, leased and what is the lifetime guaranteed by the manufacturer, the year of commissioning, the W-E efficiency, if the repair is done wholly or partly by the user or by contracting, etc.

If the lack of maintenance also results in work accidents or occupational disease, or during maintenance operations, the cost of corrective maintenance shall be supplemented by the cost of such occupational accidents or occupational disease.

In fig. no. 3 are presented the lack of maintenance and of non-safety main parameters.

- defects of WE
- consequences on the working environment (eg emissions of pollutants)
- reducing the technical life of the WE
- defects in finite products
- production interruption / delivery delays
- reducing work time as a due to corrective maintenance
- penalties
- work accidents generated by WE during production
- work accidents generated by WE during maintenance
- changing the personal structure as appropriate
- changing the working conditions
- reducing the working time of workers involved in the work accident
- fees
4. Case Study

The case study aims to analyze an event which led to failure of the on / off command system of a conveyor belt, due to the dust accumulated in the housing. To reduce loss of production during maintenance, as a result of replacement of the control system, the conveyor belt was powered directly from the electrical panel. The operation of supplying the conveyor belt directly from the electric panel - a non-compliant operation, because this solution eliminated the belt safety systems, against electric shock, lasted three hours. Therefore, the company recorded a loss of production time for the production line of the defective conveyor. After eight hours of operation, as a result of the speed increase, in order to recover the lost production time, one of the drive motors was also damaged, the conveyor belt being shut down to replace the engine for 120 hours. In this situation, C_i costs are generated by the defective work equipment to be replaced CWE_1 (cost for control system) and CWE_2 (cost for electric drive motor), the value of lost and unrecovered production overtime, the cost of overtime Cover time, the cost of consumables and materials used during maintenance Consumable, plus the cost of safety tests Csafety, after repairs or replacements and, where appropriate, the cost of the protection (Dascalescu, 2003)(10).

\[ C_t = C_{WE_1} + C_{WE_2} + C_{consumable} + C_{overtime} + C_{safety} \] (1)

4.1 Costs due to failure of work equipment

The costs resulting from the failure of the two work equipment are given by the value of the equipment at the time of the fault, the number of years left until removing from use, the average hourly efficiency and the actual use time per year.

\[ C_{EM_1} = V_r + \frac{R}{h_o} \times T_{ind} + (a_{ia} + a_{ie}) \times 12 \times n_f \times \phi \] (2)

The meaning and the values of all terms from eq. (2) are given in table 1.

Costs of failure for WE_1: \( C_{WE_1} = 3460.5 \) lei
Costs of failure for WE_2, is calculated similar to eq.(2) \( C_{WE_2} = 7686.5 \) lei

\[ C_{consumable} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \times p_{consumable} + \sum C_m = 28 \text{ lei} \] (3)

The meaning and the values of all terms from (3) are given in table 1.

4.2 Costs of supplies used in maintenance

Quantification of the costs for consumables and supplies used in the maintenance operation can only be done if there is clear evidence of the quantity of materials used, a breakdown for each category of maintenance operations. Taking into account that the value of this materials and consumables does not influence the losses of a company, we consider that the losses from the use of consumables are given by the quantity of material q and the price per unit purchased, (Dascalescu, 2003)(10).

\[ C_{overtime\_maintenance} = \sum N_m \times \sum t_{m\_overtime} \times \sum S_{memt} = 80.7 \text{ lei} \] (4)

In the calculation of non-maintenance costs, the time lost since the finding, the failure communication and the arrival of the maintenance team were taken into account, and this time is counting in the production time losses, respectively the value of the lost production.

4.4 Safety costs

The safety costs are limited to the purchase of a mean of protection worth 120 lei, and the safety tests specified by EO no. 1146/2006(11). In this regard, the company contracted firm for safety tests, costing 520 lei. These safety tests should have been made annually. The means of protection that is part of the electrical part of the work equipment and the PPE used (mechanical protection gloves, 2pcs x 2.23 lei) according to the economic records are recorded in the consumables category. In this study they are included in safety costs category, as a result of their role.

Total costs of non-maintenance \( C_i = 12554.76 \) lei.
Lack of preventive maintenance by periodic technical and safety test, use of a non-compliant component of the electrical parts of the production line (the protection provided by the control system housing is non-compliant with the environment in which the housing is used) and the mental stress exerted on the maintenance workers and line operators, in order to recover the production to honor the contracts, led to a cost of 12554.76 lei. By analyzing the workers and line operators, in order to recover the production to honor the contracts, led to a cost of 12554.76 lei. By analyzing the 

Maintenance activity management, as well as the occupational health and safety management system are the key component which has to be integrated in the company’s general management system and its implementation should be made by integration in other management systems which are relevant for the organization, such as quality, environment or social responsibility. (D. Darabont et. al., 2017)[12]. For the efficiency of these results, this analysis has to be included in the internal audit, in this way the activity of internal auditors is organized as a permanent system, which has its own objectives and disposes of resources (human, material and financial) as well as adequate instruments (auditing method) (Vasilescu et al. 2012)[13].

Table 1: Data necessary for the maintenance calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Primary data</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Damaged work equipment (W-E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Property type (property, rental, leasing)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Type of defect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Value of W-E at event time</td>
<td>( V_t )</td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>442.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value of a new W-E</td>
<td></td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>870.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Monthly average damping rate</td>
<td>( a_m )</td>
<td>lei/month</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The technical life of the E-M (from the moment of the event to cassation)</td>
<td>( n_a )</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Effective working time</td>
<td>( t_0 )</td>
<td>hour/year</td>
<td>8016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Number of hours W-E is unavailable</td>
<td>( T_{ml} )</td>
<td>hour/year</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Average hourly efficiency of total scheduled fixed assets (calculated on annual production - assimilated)</td>
<td>( R_{m\text{-ac}} )</td>
<td>lei/hour</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Penalties / Imputed value for lease or hired W-E</td>
<td>( V_l )</td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Updated rate</td>
<td>( \varphi )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Number of workers in maintenance team</td>
<td>( N_m )</td>
<td>man</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Total time spent by company’s maintenance team (including post-commissioning / repair, tests)</td>
<td>( T_m )</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Additional hours performed for defect removal / replacement / repair (including post-commissioning / repair tests)</td>
<td>( T_{m,s} )</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Average hourly wage of maintenance workers</td>
<td>( S_{m\text{ent}} )</td>
<td>lei/hours</td>
<td>13.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Supplies costs necessary for maintenance</td>
<td>( \Sigma c_m )</td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Cost of maintenance performed by third parties (repairs, including safety tests after commissioning / repair)</td>
<td>( C_t )</td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>The cost of installations and protective devices</td>
<td>( C_p )</td>
<td>lei</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Monthly average amortization rate of the new W-E, purchased</td>
<td>( a_{m})</td>
<td>lei/month</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Monthly rental value for rented fixed rental instead of decommissioned</td>
<td>( C_{cm} )</td>
<td>lei/month</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Rental duration</td>
<td>( D_t )</td>
<td>luni</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. Number of employees of the company making overtime for production recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Time consumed by own maintenance team to repair the WE (including post-commissioning / repair safety test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Average hourly wage of maintenance workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

Lack of maintenance changes negatively the result indicators of a company (turnover, value added, physical output, production costs), thus changing the profitability of the firm’s business. Lack of maintenance leads to non-safety of workers, respectively production operators and maintenance workers. Although the investment in maintenance and implicitly in worker’s safety is analyzed, mainly by managers, as any investment, in terms of cost and profit, it has to be addressed also in terms of the costs generated by an event, resulting in technical losses estimated in the basis of their production history, and sometimes work accidents and occupational disease.
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